

College Council Minutes

Monday, May 06, 2019

JMB 140

Attendees: Anton Amartunge, Mac Writt, Hobac Tran, Jeffrey Campbell, Dieny Aras, Rose Kolovrat, Seth Roback, Rosemary Darough, Zahra Alavi, Ward Ryder, Lawrence Cushnie, Jennifer Mills

(Quorum for today's meeting)

Guest Attendees: Cynthia Requa

Secretary: Christa Zinke

Begin: 1:00pm **End:** 2:30pm

1. WELCOME AND INTRODUCTIONS

2. REVIEW AND APPROVAL OF MINUTES

- April 08, 2019
 - o Approved

3. REGULAR BUSINESS

- Elections Update
 - o Full Time Prof-Tech Faculty highest vote getter Rick Baker stepped down.
 - Moonku Jun the second highest vote getter who was selected as an alternate has accepted the position and will serve the next two years.
 - Yilin Sun will be stepping down for next year. Zahra has offered to take over her position for 2019-20 as a possible appointed member.
 - Mac Writt will be the chair for 2019-20 school year.

4. NEW BUSINESS

• 1.10pm - 2.00pm: Clean Air Task Force Smoking on campus post survey discussion and formulation of recommendation to the President's Cabinet



• This recommendation is the result of 18 months of work. This is an opportunity for College Council to review, make any changes, and vote on the recommendation.

Question/Concerns

Anton: Have the results been released to the community?

Jeffrey: They have not been sent out to the campus via email. This is still preliminary and not enacting change yet to the community. I feel like if it does elevate to that level then it should come from Rosie's level. Essentially it has been released in the forum because the results were discussed.

Mac: We can. There is a lot of data so I would need help figuring out what to send out to people.

Anton: That is something that we can talk about with Rosie.

Jeffrey: Since this was a task asked for by the President's office, my opinion is that communication about the recommendations from the task force should come from Rosie's office.

Seth: So what are the next steps?

Jeffrey: This recommendation letter is being provided as a conduit to College Council as they were tasked with the official request from the President's Office to work with the task for as a vehicle to complete the task. It is my understanding that College Council should approve or edit this recommendation letter to send to the cabinet.

Seth: Are there any resistance to the full on 100%, like from Center for International Education? Mac: They had their chance to tell us what they thought and come to the forums. Ward: We have had at least one of their representatives at the Clean Air Task Force meetings as well.

Jeffrey: There were no specific offices or departments that voiced their opposition at any point during the research. There were individuals who voiced their concern. The task force provided the community with multiple avenues to voice their opposition over the last 18th months.

Review of Section E: Recommendations

Seth: So once the recommendation has been accepted then the task force would be disbanded?

Jeffrey: Yes, when this is accepted our task is completed and the CATF will be disbanded and a new committee, an Implementation Committee, would be created to implement this. This has been a very time constraining process and we have had to turn down thousands of dollars in grants because we don't have the time to manage those grants. So, if we change the policy and have to change the signs these grants would cover all the



costs. They would cover cessation, speakers, student-paid workers dedicated to work solely on this.

Seth: Who would appoint this committee?

Jeffrey: The cabinet would.

Rosemary: Looking at all this, we would need to put in information about why it is important to appoint a committee and project coordinator to manage all of this.

Seth: Are the grants mentioned in this? Because that might be important to include to sway the cabinet.

Jeffrey: We could put that in a bullet under point 1.

Anton: So did you say that North had a similar thing where they had a task force to manage this? Jeffrey: They had a task force that stayed intact throughout the entire process since this was under their OC&E.

Anton: One thing I will add, one of the points that I got a lot of feedback from during the survey was about the request for information about sexual orientation, race, and that type of question. They were asking why that was necessary. I understand the requirements and when I explained it to those participants, they understood too after explanation. But, for future surveys, when I was talking with Rosie, we talked about how it may be better to explain why we are gathering that information.

Jeffrey: When I was working with Greg on this survey, he assured me that we would be able to give me a comprehensive breakdown of the survey results. However, due to the accreditation Greg was not able to devote as much time as he anticipated. When Tobacco companies market, they market to specific populations. It was intended that we would be able to identify that we have those demographics on our campus, but due to time limitations we weren't able to break it down into the analysis that I was hoping. Anton: My concern is that there are students who did not fill out the survey because they did not feel the questions were relevant to the survey subject.

Jeffrey: So the only recommendation that I am hearing on changes is that we elaborate under Section E Part 1 is that we elaborate on the reasons why we need to appoint a projector coordinator.

Anton: One thing that we can include, too, is that we have community partners who are available that we can continue to utilize to provide further assistance.

Dieny: So, in the issue context it says that there are two campuses who are not on the list of ANRF. I am asking this because, it is contingent on meeting the standards for ANRF. I feel like if we were to say that these areas of campus are 100% smoke-free but that maybe we say that there is a specific spot on campus that smoking is allowed. I feel like we have safe spaces for other groups on campus and it feels counter-intuitive to say that this population shouldn't have a safe space.



Hobac: I feel like it would be difficult to implement on Georgetown, as well. Ward: These are the exact issues that the new group would be discussing. I do know that our consultants likely would be against about making any exceptions. I do see that this is a touchy subject and there may need to be some creative solutions.

Jeffrey: This has been brought up at multiple forums and I talked with Stephanie Dikes about this, as well. The decision at North was that it was either 100% or nothing because if you leave the door cracked – if you give an inch, they take a foot. North paid attention to international enrollments before and after and it did not change attention at all.

Ward: We got a decent number of international students and we were very encouraged by that. They responded to the survey than in more numbers than the normal student population

Jeffrey: One of the things that they do at North is that if you are in your car, you are in your car. Or you can walk to the street.

Larry: I think implementation is in the next realm, if we are going to be putting in a blurb about working with community partners about grants, we should also have a blurb about including community partners expertise in the implementation as well.

Ward: There are other issues that will need to be discussed, as well, including enforcement.

Hobac: Where does the grant money come from?

Jeffrey: It comes from the American Lung Association and King County Department for Public Health.

Mac: Under recommendations, under 2 do we want to make sure that we include a representative from Georgetown

Jeffrey: I know that Rosie wanted the recommendation to include Georgetown but there is always a chance that is not included or is on a different timeline for implementation.

Seth: You mentioned someone doing the work to get the grants, if the goal is to get this through the President's office and through the trustees then I think we need to highlight that we can potentially pay for this with grants.

Jeffrey: There will need to be hours for the person appointed to lead the committee that will need to devote hours from their current salary to apply for grants and lead the committee.

Anton: Our point here is to give the recommendation – the campus as a community wants to go smoke-free. The rest of the decisions, the cabinet is going to have to decide what they want to do. Rosie recommended that when we put the recommendations for the undocumented students



that they have someone from the committee to come and talk to the cabinet, so that might happen in this case as well.

Larry: I would like to put forward a motion to send the recommendation from the CATF with the support of the College Council to the President's Council.

Seth: I second.

MOTION APPROVED

• 2.00pm - 2.30pm: Mural on campus discussion with North Seattle College representative Kelda Martensen

James: I keep hearing is that this should be student-led. Where I see this is that everyone is busy, and students are even more busy. So if this is the recommendation that we form a task force than this should be led by College Council.

Guest Speaker

Kelda: I came on board in 2012 and as part of the effort to build a more sustainable community, there are had been rumors and rumblings about maybe a mural would be a way to make a more visible effort on campus. When I was hired, I had a mural background and I wanted to bring that into my job as well. Getting it to happen was a process. We have an art committee on campus. It was formed originally as a task force and our charge was to come up with design and how it might look. We decided we wanted an international call for design and have a professional artist do it due to the quarter system. We did not think that there would be time to incorporate this into a 5-credit class. This was able to bring artists to our campus through this call. We had a budget of \$5k. Murals are quite expensive. WE had to pay facilities the cost to scaffold off the building, we had to buy all the paint rather than mix our own paint. We wanted professional artists to apply for the call. It was more of a contest. We asked for fully realized designs rather than looking at their past work. We did this for three years. Some artists took it very seriously and did research on our community. The winning artist was given the \$5k to come to the campus and meeting with our students as professional education. The first year was a professor for Bradley who used this a teaching experience for her students, as well. We gridded out the design and sketched it out in paint and chalk.

We do this class during Spring Quarter due to the weather. Enrollment was 18, it always filled when we ran it. They learned how to be muralists and the realities of painting outdoors. They were also researching their own murals in the community for a proposal with a budget that they presented to the class at the end of the quarter.

The drawback of this is that it is expensive. The money goes to the design, to facilities, anti-graffiti coating, and a celebration at the end.

Jen: With the submission process, did you submit any sort of stipend for creating the work or just for the one that you submitted?



Kelda: We just paid for the finalist. It is \$5k for the design and two site visits. It is enough to incentivize for people to submit work since they will not need to create the work.

Mac: So the artists design the mural, but the students paint it. How much more would it cost for the artist to paint it?

Kelda: If the artist was local, they could both design and paint it.

Jen: Your pool will be small since they would not need to have somewhere to stay the entire thing.

James: I think that the class thing is the best option, but in the case that that were to not happen, I was wondering how much it would be to pay the artist since it is important to have that here as a contribution to the campus. Another question for you, where did the \$20k come from? What is the process for the class to get approved?

Kelda: It was a line in the President's budget before the recent budget crunch. It stopped in 2016. The process for the class was that I wrote it and ran it through the CAS (CIC).

Anton: Did you scope any types of grants that were available?

Kelda: The funding was available, so I jumped on it. We did try for an ANAPISI grant and we were runner up. That is a direction we want to go in the next iteration. I think of that era as closed. Now, looking ahead we are looking at different models. I think that a grant-based one that speaks to a specific population. That is also a timeline consideration.

Jen: What do you see the mural project at North going forward?

Kelda: I would love to teach it again. I teach it another exciting class in the spring but I am thinking we could make something happen for the 50th anniversary. I would also like to do a mural on the pedestrian bridge for light rail when that comes to campus. I am looking at potentially painting indoors, painting on plywood for less facilities costs. We have lots of requests for students to get indoor artwork, so that is an option as well. I could design the mural, but I didn't want my voice. We could do student competition, but students are maxed out and we get very few designs. My advice would be to work backwards a year out. We did everything by committee to put out the call, choose a location, and decide on the mural. Committee was art faculty, other faculty, staff, and students.

5. ACTION ITEMS

• Finalize the smoking on campus recommendation

NEXT MEETING:

- Monday, June 10, 2019
- 1pm 2.30pm
- RSB 30